NON-PARAMETRIC TEST

Statistical tests fall into two categories:

- (i) Parametric tests
- (ii) Non-parametric tests

The parametric tests make the following assumptions

- the population is normally distributed;
- homogeneity of variance

If any or all of these assumptions are untrue

- then the results of the test may be invalid.
- it is safest to use a **non-parametric test.**

ADVANTAGES OF NON-PARAMETRIC TESTS

- If the sample size is small there is no alternative
- If the data is nominal or ordinal
- These tests are much easier to apply

DISADVANTAGES OF NON-PARAMETRIC TESTS

- i) Discard information by converting to ranks
- ii) Parametric tests are more powerful
- iii) Tables of critical values may not be easily available.
- iv) It is merely for testing of hypothesis and no confidence limits could be calculated.

Non-parametric tests

- Note: When valid, use parametric
- Commonly used

Wilcoxon signed-rank test Wilcoxon rank-sum test Spearman rank correlation

Chi square etc.

- Useful for non-normal data
- If possible use some transformation
- If normalization not possible
- Note: Cl interval -difficult/impossible

Which statistical test



Wilcoxon signed rank test

To test difference between paired data

EXAMPLE

	Hours of sleep	
Patient	Drug	Placebo
1	6.1	5.2
2	7.0	7.9
3	8.2	3.9
4	7.6	4.7
5	6.5	5.3
6	8.4	5.4
7	6.9	4.2
8	6.7	6.1
9	7.4	3.8
10	5.8	6.3

Null Hypothesis: Hours of sleep are the same using placebo & the drug

- Exclude any differences which are zero
- Ignore their signs
- Put the rest of differences in ascending order
- Assign them ranks
- If any differences are equal, average their ranks

Count up the ranks of +ives as T₊

• Count up the ranks of –ives as T_

- If there is no difference between drug (T₊) and placebo (T₋), then T₊ & T₋ would be similar
- If there is a difference one sum would be much smaller and the other much larger than expected
- The larger sum is denoted as T
- $T = larger of T_{+} and T_{-}$

 Compare the value obtained with the critical values (5%, 2% and 1%) in table

 N is the number of differences that were ranked (not the total number of differences)

So the zero differences are excluded

	Hours of sleep			Rank
Patient	Drug	Placebo	Difference	Ignoring sign
1	6.1	5.2	0.9	3.5*
2	7.0	7.9	-0.9	3.5*
3	8.2	3.9	4.3	10
4	7.6	4.7	2.9	7
5	6.5	5.3	1.2	5
6	8.4	5.4	3.0	8
7	6.9	4.2	2.7	6
8	6.7	6.1	0.6	2
9	7.4	3.8	3.6	9
10	5.8	6.3	-0.5	1

 3^{rd} & 4^{th} ranks are tied hence averaged; T= larger of T₊ (50.5) and T₋ (4.5)

Here, calculated value of T= 50.5; tabulated value of T= 47 (at 5%)

significant at 5% level indicating that the drug (hypnotic) is more effective than placebo

Wilcoxon rank sum test

• To compare two groups

Consists of 3 basic steps

Non-smokers (n=15)	Heavy smokers (n=14)
Birth wt (Kg)	Birth wt (Kg)
3.99	3.18
3.79	2.84
3.60*	2.90
3.73	3.27
3.21	3.85
3.60*	3.52
4.08	3.23
3.61	2.76
3.83	3.60*
3.31	3.75
4.13	3.59
3.26	3.63
3.54	2.38
3.51	2.34
2.71	

Null Hypothesis: Mean birth weight is same between non-smokers & smokers

Step 1

 Rank the data of both the groups in ascending order

If any values are equal, average their ranks

Step 2

 Add up the ranks in the group with smaller sample size

 If the two groups are of the same size either one may be picked

 T= sum of ranks in the group with smaller sample size

Step 3

Compare this sum with the critical ranges given in table

 Look up the rows corresponding to the sample sizes of the two groups

A range will be shown for the 5% significance level

Non-smol	kers (n=15)	Heavy smok	ers (n=14)
Birth wt (Kg)	Rank	Birth wt (Kg)	Rank
3.99	27	3.18	7
3.79	24	2.84	5
3.60*	18	2.90	6
3.73	22	3.27	11
3.21	8	3.85	26
3.60*	18	3.52	14
4.08	28	3.23	9
3.61	20	2.76	4
3.83	25	3.60*	18
3.31	12	3.75	23
4.13	29	3.59	16
3.26	10	3.63	21
3.54	15	2.38	2
3.51	13	2.34	1
2.71	3		
	Sum=272		Sum=163

* 17, 18 & 19are tied hence the ranks are averaged Hence caculated value of T = 163; tabulated value of T (14,15) = 151 Mean birth weights are not same for non-smokers & smokers they are significantly different

Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient

- based on the ranks of the items rather than actual values.
- can be used even with the actual values

Examples

- to know the correlation between honesty and wisdom of the boys of a class.
- It can also be used to find the degree of agreement between the judgements of two examiners or two judges.

R (Rank correlation coefficient) =
$$1 - \frac{6 \Sigma D^2}{N(N^2 - 1)}$$

D = Difference between the ranks of two items N = The number of observations. Note: $-1 \le R \le 1$.

i) When R = +1 Perfect positive correlation or complete agreement in the same direction

ii) When R = -1 Perfect negative correlation or complete agreement in the opposite direction.

iii) When R = 0 No Correlation.

Computation

- Give ranks to the values of items.
 Generally the item with the highest value is ranked 1 and then the others are given ranks 2, 3, 4, according to their values in the decreasing order.
- ii. Find the difference $D = R_1 R_2$ where $R_1 = Rank$ of x and $R_2 = Rank$ of y Note that $\Sigma D = 0$ (always)
- iii. Calculate D^2 and then find ΣD^2
- iv. Apply the formula.

If there is a tie between two or more items.

Then give the average rank. If m be the number of items of equal rank, the factor $1(m^3-m)/12$ is added to ΣD^2 . If there is more than one such case then this factor is added as many times as the number of such cases, then



Student No.	Rank in Maths (R ₄)	Rank in Stats (R ₂)	R ₁ - R ₂ D	(R ₁ - R ₂) ² D ²
1	1	3	-2	4
2	3	1	2	4
3	7	4	3	9
4	5	5	0	0
5	4	6	-2	4
6	6	9	-3	9
7	2	7	-5	25
8	10	8	2	4
9	9	10	-1	1
10	8	2	6	36
N = 10			$\Sigma \mathbf{D} = 0$	$\Sigma D^2 = 96$

